AO 472 (Rev. 09/08) Detention Order Pending Trial - MIWD (Rev. 10/09) Case 1:12-Cr-00101-JTN $\,$ ECF No. 88 filed 09/12/12 $\,$ PageID.219 $\,$ Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
	v. Erica Shantell Robinson	Case No. 1:12 Cr 101 - 5		
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, efendant be detained pending trial.	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require		
	Part I – Findings o	of Fact		
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S a federal offense a state or local offense that would existed – that is			
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4) which the prison term is 10 years or more.), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for		
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.			
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten year	rs or more is prescribed in:		
	a felony committed after the defendant had been conviduous. S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local	ted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 offenses.		
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves: a minor victim			
	the possession or use of a firearm or destrue a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250			
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the or local offense.	defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state		
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date offense described in finding (1).	of conviction defendant's release from prison for the		
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption the person or the community. I further find that defendant has not			
Alternative Findings (A)				
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has cor	nmitted an offense		
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more i Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	s prescribed in:*		
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the sa			
	Alternative Findin			
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.			
√ (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the sa	fety of another person or the community.		
	Part II – Statement of the Reas	_		
evidence defenda Marcus contacts	find that the testimony and information submitted at the detenti a preponderance of the evidence that: nt is alleged to have violated condition# 16 of the Amended Bo Thames. The facts alleged by the Government disclose a seri s. Defendant appeared in court with counsel on September 12	ond by maintaining repeated contacts with codefendant ous risk of obstruction of justice arising from these , 2012 and elected not to contest revocation of bond.		
The Gov	vernment's motion to revoke bond (doc. # 60) is hereby grante	d.		

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	September 12, 2012	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Joseph G. Scoville
		Name and Title	Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge